## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Increase the Deferred EBA Rate Through the Energy Balancing Account Mechanism

Docket No. 13-035-32

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

TAKEN AT:

Public Service Commission
Hearing Room 451
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE:

Wednesday, October 2, 2013

TIME:

9:01 a.m.

REPORTED BY:

Teena Green, RPR, CSR, CRR, CBC

1	APPEARANCES
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: DAVID R. CLARK
3	
4	CHAIRMAN: RON ALLEN
5	
6	COMMISSIONER: THAD LEVAR
7	
8	FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
9	PATRICIA E. SCHMID, ESQ.,
10	BRENT COLEMAN
11	ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL
12	160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
13	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
14	
15	FOR OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:
16	BRENT COLEMAN
17	ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
18	160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
19	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
20	
21	FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER:
22	YVONNE R. HOGLE, ESQ.,
23	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
24	201 South Main Street, Suite 2300
25	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

	116d111g1 10066d11g5 10/02/10
1	FOR UIEC:
2	WILLIAM J. EVANS, ESQ.,
3	PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER
4	201 South Main Street, Suite 1800
5	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
6	
7	FOR UAE:
8	GARY A. DODGE, ESQ.,
9	HATCH, JAMES & DODGE
10	10 West Broadway, Suite 400
11	Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
12	
13	PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
14	STEVE McDOUGAL
15	DANA RALSTON
16	STEFAN BIRD
17	JOELLE STEWARD
18	BRIAN DICKMAN
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		•	
1	INDEX		
2	Witnesses	Page	
3	DAVID L. TAYLOR		
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Hogle	11	
5	Cross Examination by Commissioner Allen	20	
6	Cross Examination by Commissioner LaVar		22
7	STEFAN BIRD		
8	Testimony of Stefan Bird	23	
9	MATTHEW CROFT		
10	Direct Examination by Ms. Schmid	25	
11	DANIEL E. GIMBLE		
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Coleman	28	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	Hearing Proceedings
2	October 2, 2013
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning, ladies
5	and gentlemen, we're on the record. My name is David Clark.
6	I'm Public Service Commissioner, and next to me to my left is
7	chairman Ron Allen, chair of the Public Service Commission.
8	Next to him is Commissioner Thad LeVar.
9	This is the time and place duly noticed for a
10	hearing in docket No. 13-035-32 in the matter of the application
11	of Rocky Mountain Power to increase the deferred EBA rate
12	through the energy balancing account mechanism.
13	Chairman Allen has asked me to serve as the
14	hearing officer for our hearing today.
15	Let's begin by having counsel enter their
16	appearances and begin with the applicant.
17	MS. HOGLE: Good morning, commissioners and
18	parties, Yvonne Hogle on behalf of Rocky Mountain Power.
19	MS. SCHMID: Good morning. Patricia E. Schmid
20	with the Attorney General's office on behalf of the Division of
21	Public Utilities.
22	MR. COLEMAN: Brent Coleman on behalf of the
23	Office of Consumer Services.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
25	MR. DODGE: Gary Dodge on behalf of UAE.

1	MR. EVANS: And I'm William Evans on behalf of
2	the UIEC intervention group.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Anyone
4	else?
5	We're here today, I believe, to consider a
6	stipulation that has been presented and filed. And as the
7	Commission understands it, at least at this stage, there isn't any
8	opposition to the stipulation. Is that correct? Do the parties
9	intend to offer the testimony that's been prefiled in support of
10	the settlement stipulation and have they agreed to do that by
11	stipulation?
12	Ms. Hogle, could you address that for the parties?
13	MS. HOGLE: Certainly.
14	I believe there is a provision, if I recall correctly,
15	that all the parties would offer all the prefiled testimony,
16	including exhibits, into the record and in support of the
17	stipulation.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: And is there any
19	objection to that process? It is a term of the stipulation, I
20	believe.
21	Then the testimony that's been previously filed in
22	the docket will be received in evidence.
23	MR. EVANS: Commissioner Clark, we don't have
24	any objection to the admission of that evidence, but the UIEC
25	didn't file testimony but we did file on that same date, on the

1	20th of September, comments on the proposed allocation of
2	EBA class and we ask that that also be recognized and received
3	into the record.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objections?
5	(No audible response.)
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Then it will be received
7	as well.
8	And do any parties intend to reply to those
9	comments?
10	(No audible response.)
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
12	Ms. Hogle, do you intend to present a witness in
13	support of the settlement stipulation?
14	MS. HOGLE: I do. I would ask the Commission,
15	however, if we can ensure that some of our witnesses are not on
16	the phone wanting to listen in. I don't see the green light on the
17	phone, so
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. As we began the
19	hearing, I was informed no one had joined the hearing by
20	telephone. Is that still the case?
21	MS. VINTZ: Right.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm informed it is still the
23	case.
24	MR. TAYLOR: Our understanding was they set up
25	a bridge number to call into and all of our people say they're

1	planning to call in, so
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's be off the record.
3	(Discussion held off the record.)
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: I would ask that those
5	on the phone identify themselves. Let me catch you up to date.
6	We are on the record. We have had counsel enter
7	appearances. We've determined that there is no opposition to
8	theor at least no one present today who is in opposition to the
9	settlement stipulation. And so if you would, please, identify
10	yourselves, those who are listening.
11	MR. McDOUGAL: This is Steve McDougal.
12	MR. RALSTON: This is Dana Ralston.
13	MR. BIRD: Stefan Bird.
14	MS. STEWARD: Joelle Steward.
15	MR. DICKMAN: Brian Dickman.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do we have the people
17	the company's interested in
18	MS. HOGLE: Yes.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Does any other party
20	have an expectation of participation by telephone of any witness
21	or
22	(No audible response.)
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
24	MR. DODGE: Commissioner Clark?
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.

1 MR. DODGE: Can I just raise quickly a procedural 2 question? 3 Kevin Higgins pointed out to me that, in fact, we 4 didn't include in the stipulation that we would admit all of the 5 evidence. Usually that's in and, for some reason, it didn't get 6 in. And I think it was on that basis you ruled that the evidence 7 is all admissible. 8 Just to make sure the record is complete, I guess I 9 would move that all of the prefiled testimony and the UIEC 10 comments be admitted and ask the Commission to rule on that 11 basis. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: It's admitted. 13 MR. DODGE: Thank you. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Let there be no doubt 15 about the receipt in evidence of the prefiled testimony. Thank 16 you, Mr. Dodge. 17 Before we proceed to further witness testimony in 18 support of the settlement stipulation, it has been filed as a 19 confidential document. There's a great deal of additional 20 material, exhibits and testimony that has been designated 21 confidential by the applicant and perhaps others. Is there going 22 to be a need to refer directly to the confidential material in the 23 testimony or examination of witnesses today that anyone at 24 least can anticipate in advance? MS. HOGLE: The only possibility that I see, Your 25

1	Honor, is if there are questions from the Commission that would	
2	require witnesses to reference any confidential information.	
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Any other	
4	comments on that subject?	
5	(No audible response.)	
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.	
7	Ms. Hogle, then?	
8	MS. HOGLE: Thank you. Good morning. The	
9	company calls Mr. Dave Taylor as its witness.	
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please raise your right	
11	hand. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about to	
12	give shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the	
13	truth?	
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.	
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
16	BY-MS.HOGLE:	
17	Q. Can you please state your name and position with	
18	Rocky Mountain Power?	
19	A. My name is David L. Taylor. I'm employed by	
20	Rocky Mountain Power as the manager of regulatory affairs for	
21	the State of Utah. My business address is 201 South Main,	
22	Suite 2300, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84111.	
23	Q. And what is the purpose of your testimony today?	
24	A. I'll briefly review the events and the key elements	
25	of the stipulation that's been entered into by the four signing	

1	parties. Those parties include Rocky Mountain Power, Utah
2	Division of Public Utilities, the Utah Office of Consumer
3	Services, and Utah Association of Energy Users.
4	I'm also here to testify in support of the stipulation
5	and to recommend its approval as being in the public interest.
6	Q. Please proceed with the history that led to the
7	stipulation.
8	A. On March 15th of this year, Rocky Mountain Power
9	filed to recover 17.4 million in referred EBA costs over a
10	two-year period of approximately \$8.7 million per year. This
11	amount is in addition to the currently effective EBA rate being
12	recovered currently through the EBA.
13	On July 12th of this year, the Division of Public
14	Utilities filed its EBA audit report and their direct testimony in
15	the case.
16	On August 20th, the Office of Consumer Services
17	and UAE filed direct testimony and Rocky Mountain Power filed
18	supplemental direct testimony in response to the DPU audit
19	report.
20	Over the course of this case, Rocky Mountain
21	Power filed testimony of six witnesses, including approximately
22	150 pages of testimony, plus exhibits and supportive work
23	papers in support of its request.
24	In addition to the DPU audit report, intervening
25	parties filed the testimony of four witnesses.

In addition to the finding requirement responses that were included with our application, the company responded to over 200 data requests that the intervening parties prepared in response to the company's case. I mention that just to point out that prior to entering into settlement discussions, a substantial amount of evidence and discovery in this case has been filed, reviewed and analyzed.

Over the past few weeks, the parties have engaged in settlement discussions and, based upon those discussions, the parties agree to the terms and conditions set forth in the stipulation that was filed with the Commission on September 25th of this year.

While not all of the intervening parties in the case have signed the stipulation, we're not aware of any party that opposes the stipulation.

- Q. Can you please describe the terms of the stipulation?
- A. I will. And I assume that the Commission's read the stipulation, so I'll describe it in brief terms. In doing so, I would not intend to modify the terms of the stipulation in any way. And if by chance I misspeak, the language of the stipulation are not my words of the binding agreement.

Finally, I trust the Commission will let me know if you want more detail or less detail as I proceed.

So moving on to the stipulation itself, the parties

have agreed to the following:

Pursuant to paragraph 3, the parties agree that Rocky Mountain Power may recover EBA costs in this matter in the amount of \$15 million of the approximately 17.4 million that the company initially requested.

Of the \$2.4 million in adjustment, approximately one-half million dollars is associated with outages at three of the company's power plants for which the company received liquidated damages payments. The remaining 1.9 million reduction is related to other unspecified adjustments.

The liquidated damages are called out because those adjustments require specific accounting and treatment which is addressed in paragraph 4 of the stipulation.

Moving on to paragraph 4, specifically it states that the company received liquidated damage payments for outages at three plants. Those plants are the Jim Bridger Unit 4, the Naughton Unit 1 and the Naughton Unit 2. The total of those liquidated damages is approximately \$1.6 million.

Per Generally Accepted Accounting Principles these payments were booked as a credit to plant in service and thereby reducing the rate base by that amount. Utah's allocated share of those liquidated damage payments is approximately \$700,000.

Pursuant to paragraph 4, the parties have agreed to provide customers with the benefit of those liquidated damage

1 payments through the EBA rather than as a credit to plant in 2 service. 3 To accomplish this, the company will reverse Utah's 4 \$700,000 portion of liquidated damages originally booked as a 5 credit of plant in service and apply it as a reduction in net power 6 cost. After the application of the 70 percent sharing 7 8 band, the EBA deferral balance is therefore reduced by 9 approximately \$490,000. 10 Now, because these plants are system allocated 11 resource, and because this adjustment is specific to the State of 12 Utah, the reversal of Utah's share of the damage payments 13 cannot simply be added back to the plant balance. So, 14 therefore, the reversal will be achieved through the creation of a 15 regulatory asset in the amount of \$700,000 which would be 16 included in Utah's rate base. 17 The regulatory asset will be amortized over twenty 18 years beginning January 1st, 2014. Twenty years is the 19 approximate average of remaining life of those three plants. 20 Moving on to paragraph 5, in paragraph 5 the 21 company makes representations regarding the hedging 22 transactions supporting its natural gas requirements for 2013 23 and 2014. These representations include the number of 24 25 hedging transactions that exceed a certain hedging horizon, the

volume of those transactions, the gains or losses associated with those transactions, and the maximum effective transaction period over ETP associated with the transactions in either of those two years.

Confidential Exhibit A, which is referenced in this paragraph, lists the individual transactions referenced in paragraph 5. And the company further represents that these transactions were entered into consistent with the company's risk management policies in effect at the time they were executed.

In paragraph 6 the parties have agreed that they will not challenge any of the transactions identified in paragraph 5 on the grounds that they violate the company's policy or require a policy exception due their effective transaction periods, or because they were considered seasonal products, or that they violated the company's policies for governance by splitting the transactions to avoid such governance.

Moving on to paragraph 7, here the parties have agreed to hold a technical conference on or before March 15th, 2014. That's the date of which the next EBA filing will be made. They'll hold a technical conference to evaluate dynamic allocations and dynamic scalers and their effect upon EBA costs allocated to Utah customers.

Paragraph 8 states that under -- consistent with the settlements in Dockets No. 11-035-200, 12-035-79, and

12-035-80, dated October 7th, 2012, that the parties have agreed that the approved rate case in this docket will be collected over a two-year period. It will accrue carrying charges through December 31st of 2012, and there will be no carrying charges thereafter or during the two-year collection period.

In paragraph 9 the parties have agreed to the spread and rate design as set forth in Exhibit B that's attached to the stipulation.

Exhibit B also shows the total EBA collection rates, including the ongoing collection from previous EBA dockets.

The parties agree that the EBA spread is consistent with the NPC allocator method that was ordered by the Commission in Docket 11-035-T10.

And under paragraph 10, the parties request that the Commission issue its order in this docket in time for the approved rates to become effective on November 1st, 2013.

The remaining paragraphs in the stipulation contain general terms and conditions which are associated with most stipulations presented before the Commission. They represent the obligations of the party to the stipulation and to each other.

And as with most stipulations, this agreement was reached through negotiation and compromise. Each party became comfortable with the agreement in a different way, and I suspect that those parties will address what was a primary concern to them.

1	The parties have agreed to this stipulation as a
2	total package, and the individual parties may not agree that
3	each aspect of the stipulation is supportable in isolation.
4	Q. Do you have any final comments?
5	A. I do.
6	First of all, I want to thank the parties for working
7	together to reach an agreement that I believe works for all
8	parties. As with most settlements that we bring before this
9	Commission, getting here took a lot of work from everyone
10	involved.
11	I restate the company's support of the stipulation.
12	It was negotiated in good faith by the signing parties and I
13	believe this stipulation is in the public interest. I recommend
14	that the Commission approve the stipulation as it's filed.
15	And those are my comments. Thank you.
16	MS. HOGLE: The company rests.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Other questions for Mr.
18	Taylor?
19	From the commissioners?
20	(Discussion held off the record.)
21	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay. Thank you,
22	Commissioner. I have a couple of questions and then it might
23	also cue up responses from the other parties but I think the
24	company can probably answer them, but anybody who wants to
25	weigh in certainly can.

	Hearing Proceedings 10/02/13
1	CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY-COMMISSIONER ALLEN:
3	Q. I'm a little bit curious. I've got Exhibit B here, but I
4	don't see work papers that give us the four allocation methods
5	that we want to have analyzed during the pilot program. Is it
6	your intent to update the work papers, the detail?
7	A. Those work papers were provided, I believe, with
8	the testimony of Mr. Dickman and Mr. McDougal. They do
9	reflect the original requested amount. We would not have any
10	problem updating those work papers to reflect the final
11	settlement amount and provide those to the Commission. That
12	will not be a problem.
13	Q. So if they can be submitted still in the stipulation
14	that we have before us right now, would it be helpful for the
15	Division's review of the pilot process program? They can
16	answer I suppose whenthat's what I was worried about,
17	whether or not they'll have the information they need to analyze
18	the four methods with the stipulation.
19	So I guess what I'm hearing from you, then, is you
20	will be submitting updated work papers?
21	A. Yes, we could do that.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: File them as a late filed
23	exhibit, that will be helpful.
24	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, great. Thank you.

BY COMMISSIONER ALLEN:

1	Q. At the top of page 3, when you're talking about
2	Item 5, you assert that you had some required hedging
3	transactions. I'm not quite sure what you mean by "required."
4	By whom or where?
5	A. Mr. Bird is on the phone and he would probably be
6	the best person to address that question.
7	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Mr. Bird, can you hear
8	me? This is Chairman Allen.
9	MR. BIRD: Yes, Chairman, happy to answer that
10	question.
11	So at the time, we had position limits that required
12	hedging in our policy to prevent a certain amount of open
13	exposure related to gas price volatility, and that's why those
14	transactions were required, if you will, per policy back at that
15	time.
16	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So that's an internal risk
17	management policy, is that what I'm hearing?
18	MR. BIRD: That is correct.
19	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay. Okay. Great.
20	Thank you.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Commissioner LeVar.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
23	CROSS EXAMINATION
24	BY-COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
25	Q. Paragraph 7 refers to a technical conference prior

1	to March 15th of next year.
2	If I'm reading this correctly, that would be prior to
3	an opportunity for intervention in the 2014 EBA docket. So is
4	your anticipation that technical conference would be confidential
5	or open?
6	A. I believe that would be an open meeting, that
7	anyone who wanted to attend would be able to attend that.
8	Q. Okay. Thank you.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions?
10	Thank you, Mr. Taylor. You're excused.
11	Ms. Schmid?
12	MS. SCHMID: Before the Division starts, I can't
13	recall if Mr. Bird was sworn or not.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't think he was.
15	Mr. Bird?
16	MR. BIRD: Yes.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: You offered statements
18	to the Commission. Do you offer those under oath and could I
19	administer an oath to you now and then just have you affirm that
20	what you said is what you would say again under oath?
21	MR. BIRD: Yes.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you raise your
23	right hand, please. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony
24	you're about to give shall be the truth, the whole truth and
25	nothing but the truth?

1	MR. BIRD: Yes.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: So if I were to ask you
3	the same questions that Chairman Allen asked you, and to
4	which you provided answers earlier in the record, would your
5	answers be the same now under oath?
6	MR. BIRD: Yes, they would, but if I could, I'd like
7	to add just one comment to the question.
8	I'm looking at the language in the stipulation and I
9	think I could clarify that just slightly.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please do.
11	MR. BIRD: Reading the language in the stipulation,
12	it's talking about our natural gas requirement in 2013 and 2014.
13	What those are referring to is our forecast of economic
14	generation of our electric and natural gas generators that burn
15	natural gas. And so that is a forecast of our need to serve
16	customers with that natural gas generation.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
18	Any questions for Mr. Bird based on that additional
19	response?
20	(No audible response.)
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Seeing none, thank you,
22	Ms. Schmid, for that assistance, I appreciate it. Now, please, do
23	you have a witness to present?
24	MS. SCHMID: The Division does. The Division
25	would like to call Mr. Matthew Croft as its witness and request

1	that Mr. Croft be sworn.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
3	Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly
4	swear that the testimony you're about to give shall be the truth,
5	the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
6	THE WITNESS: I do.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Please be
8	seated.
9	Ms. Schmid.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY-MS.SCHMID:
12	Q. Could you please state your name, employer,
13	position and business address for the record?
14	A. Yes. My name is Matthew Croft. I am employed as
15	a utility technical consultant for the Division of Public Utilities.
16	My business address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City,
17	Utah, 84111.
18	Q. In connection with your employment at the Division,
19	have you participated on behalf of the Division in this docket?
20	A. Yes, I have participated in this docket. I've worked
21	with other Division staff members and La Capra Associates in
22	providing audit reports and direct end testimony in this docket.
23	Q. And what is the purpose of your testimony here
24	today?
25	A. The purpose of my testimony is just to briefly

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

25

summarize some of the aspects of the stipulation and the Division's point of view on the stipulation.

- Q. Please proceed.
- A. Okay.

Good morning, Commissioners, and thank you for the opportunity to express the Division's full support of the stipulation signed by the parties in this docket.

The Division believes that the stipulation, including the agreed-upon recovery of \$15 million, is just and reasonable and in the public interest. Mr. Taylor has already adequately gone over the details of the stipulation. I'd just like to make a few comments about the agreed-upon number and our audit experience.

The Division's testimony in this case raised several issues with regards to certain client outages. I note that the dollar impact of those outages called out in the stipulation are slightly higher than the total dollar impact initially proposed by the Division.

The Division also raised several issues with regards to the Company's hedging transactions. Although adjustments related to hedging are not specifically called out in the stipulation, the Division notes the other adjustments in paragraph 3 of the stipulation totaling \$1.9 million.

The Division staff and La Capra Associates continue to gain valuable experience and understanding of the

1	company's net power cost systems and the related policies and
2	procedures. After having several conversations with the
3	company, the Division expects and believes that the company
4	will provide information in a timely and meaningful manner in
5	future audits.
6	In conclusion, the Division finds this stipulation to
7	be just and reasonable and in the public interest. The Division
8	is in full support of the company recovering the stipulated \$15
9	million EBA deferral balance. The Division supports the rate
10	spread indicated in the stipulation and recommends that these
11	rates be established November 1st, 2013. And that concludes
12	my summary.
13	MS. SCHMID: Mr. Croft is available for questions.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Other questions for Mr.
15	Croft?
16	(No audible response.)
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. You're
18	excused.
19	Mr. Coleman?
20	MR. COLEMAN: Thank you.
21	The office will call Mr. Dan Gimble.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please raise your right
23	hand. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about to
24	give shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
25	truth?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY-MR.COLEMAN:
5	Q. Mr. Gimble, will you please state your name, title
6	and business address for the record.
7	A. Yes. My name is Daniel E. Gimble. My title is
8	utility manager with the Office of Consumer Services. My
9	address is 160 East 300 South here in the Heber Wells Building.
10	Q. In connection with this docket you have been
11	involved to the extent ofincluding providing direct testimony,
12	as well as analysis in this docket, on behalf of the office; is that
13	correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Do you have a summary statement regarding the
16	office's position on the stipulation settlement?
17	A. Yes, I do.
18	Q. Please go ahead.
19	A. In this proceeding the office filed responsive direct
20	testimony on a number of issues that are addressed and
21	resolved by the stipulation. I have a few brief comments
22	regarding the stipulation.
23	As has been indicated by the Division and company
24	witnesses, the EBA rates are going to be increased by \$15
25	million per the settlement.

1 The spread of that EBA increase is consistent with 2 the MPC allocator method ordered by the Commission in Docket 3 11-035-T10. The office brought that allocation method for 4 consideration of the Commission in that docket and the 5 Commission approved it in that docket. 6 Third, the stipulation at paragraph 4 includes an 7 adjustment for liquidated damage payments from contractors 8 related to outages at three generation stations. In direct 9 testimony, the office supported this adjustment that was initially 10 proposed by Division Witness Hahn. 11 Lastly, the stipulation at paragraph 7 states that a 12 technical conference will be held by March 15th to evaluate--13 March 15th, 2014, to evaluate the use of a dynamic scale or a 14 dynamic allocation in calculating the EBA deferral amount. This 15 requirement responds to office direct testimony on this issue. 16 Finally, the stipulation results in a just and 17 reasonable increase in EBA rates and the office recommends 18 that the Commission approve the stipulation. 19 That concludes my statement. 20 MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Gimble is available for any 21 further questions. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any questions for Mr. 23 Gimble? 24 (No audible response.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gimble, 25

1	you're excused.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Dodge, I noted that
4	you have prefiled testimony, or your witness does at least. Do
5	you intend to present any testimony today?
6	MR. DODGE: Only if there are questions. Mr.
7	Higgins submitted prefiled testimony proposing several
8	assessments. We participated actively in the settlement
9	discussions and signed the stipulation and support it, and Mr.
10	Higgins is here to answer any questions if there are any.
11	Otherwise, we don't feel the need to offer any additional
12	testimony.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Are there any questions
14	for Mr. Higgins?
15	(No audible response.)
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
17	MR. DODGE: Thank you.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there anything further
19	to come before the Commission in this matter?
20	MR. EVANS: Commissioner Clark, if I might make
21	a statement as to the UIEC's position here, we have not signed
22	on to the stipulation, as you know. We don'twe do not join with
23	it. We don't necessarily agree with any of the statements made
24	in it or the basis for those statements.
25	We have no intention to be bound by it in future

1	proceedings, other than to say that we do not believe that the
2	outcome as translated into rates to be collected through a
3	surcharge is so unreasonable that it cannot be said to be in the
4	public interest.
5	We are not opposing it on any basis, but we want to
6	make it clear that the UIEC is preserving its rights to raise any
7	issue we like in future proceedings. And on that basis, we do
8	not oppose the stipulation at this time.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
10	Anything else to come before the Commission?
11	MS. HOGLE: I have one request, Your Honor.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: What would that be?
13	MS. HOGLE: The company requests that the
14	Commission consider issuing a bench order today given that
15	there is no opposition. Thank you.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: We will be in recess for
17	five minutes to consider your motion.
18	(A recess was taken.)
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: On the record.
20	The motion for a bench ruling is going to be
21	addressed as follows:
22	We express our approval of the settlement
23	stipulation, that's our order in this case. It will be memorialized
24	in a written order that will be filed and distributed in due course.
25	The effective date of the approved rate change is

November 1st, 2013, as noted in the stipulation. We note that
we don't have tariff sheets yet that address the stipulated
amount I believe. Can that happen quickly, Mr. Taylor, so that
they can be reviewed before the 1st and
MR. TAYLOR: Oh, well before the 1st we'll have
tariff sheets for the Division and the Commission to review.
THE HEARING OFFICER: In a week or so, is that a
fair interval?
MR. TAYLOR: I think it can reasonably be done
within a week, yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Anything else to come before the Commission?
(No audible response.)
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much.
We're adjourned.
(Concluded at 9:44 a.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	This is to certify that the proceedings in the
4	foregoing matter were reported by me in stenotype and
5	thereafter transcribed into written form;
6	That said proceedings were taken at the time and
7	place herein named;
8	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise
9	associated with any of the parties of said cause of action and
10	that I am not interested in the event thereof.
11	
12	
13	
14	Teena Green, RPR, CSR, CRR, CBC
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	